## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	<b>v</b>	
In re VEECO INSTRUMENTS, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	: :	Case No.: 7:05-md-01695 (CM)
	**	
	X	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	:	
ALL ACTIONS	:	
	X	

DECLARATION OF JEFFREY L. OSTERWISE IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF LEAD PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE AND REVERSE THE DISCOVERY ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE GEORGE A. YANTHIS SIGNED JANUARY 24, 2007 PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 72

Jeffrey L. Osterwise, hereby declares as follows:

- I, Jeffrey L. Osterwise, hereby declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the following is true and correct:
- 1. I am an associate of the law firm of Berger & Montague, P.C., lead counsel for Lead Plaintiff in this litigation.
- 2. I submit this Declaration in further support of Lead Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate and Reverse the Discovery Order of Magistrate Judge George A. Yanthis Signed January 24, 2007 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72.
  - 3. Attached hereto as Exhibits are true and correct copies of the following:

Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>
A	Relevant portions of the Transcript of the Deposition of John Kiernan, dated February 27, 2007.
В	Relevant portions of the Rough Draft Transcript of the Deposition of John F. Rein, dated February 28, 2007.

Dated: March 1, 2007

Jeffrey L. Osterwise

# **EXHIBIT A**

## **ORIGINAL**

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of JOHN KIERNAN, a witness called by counsel for Plaintiffs, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure before Eileen Mulvenna, CSR/RMR and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, taken at the office of Milberg Weiss & Bershad, One Penn Plaza, New York, New York on February 27th, 2007, commencing at 10:17 a.m.

		166
	John Kiernan	100
	Q. Where was your office at the time,	15:17:02
	this late 2004, early 2005 time period? Not in	15:17:04
	Somerset, was it?	15:17:10
	A. No, my office is based in the Veeco	
	corporate office in Woodbury.	15:17:16
	Q. And were there occasions on which	15:17:20
	Jefferson Wells people came to Woodbury?	15:17:27
	A. Yes, they did.	15:17:31
	Q. And what did the Jefferson Wells	
		15:17:32
	people do when they came to Woodbury?	15:17:34
'	A. Principally two items, I recall.	15:17:42
ı	The first item was when they reviewed the work	15:17:46
	prepared by management with respect to the	15:17:50
	revenue adjustments. All of those accounting	15:17:52
;	records are kept at the Woodbury facility. When	15:17:54
'	they reviewed the work, they reviewed that at	15:17:59
	Woodbury.	15:18:02
-	And second, any presentations or	15:18:04
ı	reports that they would have given to the audit	15:18:09
	committee, they may have done in person or by	15:18:13
	phone in Woodbury. I'm not sure.	15:18:17
	Q. Did the audit committee meet at	15:18:19
:	Woodbury?	15:18:21
	A. The audit committee did meet in	15:18:23

			167
1		John Kiernan	
2	Woodbury. I	don't know, with respect to	15:18:25
3	specifically	meetings during this time period, if	15:18:29
4	they were te	lephonic meetings or there were	15:18:34
5	meetings in	Woodbury. I don't recall.	15:18:36
6	Q.	I see.	15:18:47
7		What was the cost of what has been	15:18:58
8	described in	Veeco documents as the investigation	15:19:02
9	that include	d that in which Jefferson Wells	15:19:07
10	did, in part	which you've described and I assume	15:19:10
11	some other t	hings	15:19:14
12		MR. HERFORT: How much money was	15:19:15
13	Jeffer	son Wells paid?	15:19:16
14		MS. BRODERICK: Oh, it wasn't only	15:19:18
15	Jeffer	son Wells that got paid.	15:19:19
16	Q.	Isn't that right?	15:19:20
17		MR. HERFORT: What is the how is	15:19:24
18	this l	ikely to lead to discoverable	15:19:25
19	eviden	ce?	15:19:27
20	Q.	You can answer the question.	15:19:28
21	. •	MR. HERFORT: Wait a minute. Wait.	15:19:29
22		You've got to put some parameters on	15:19:31
23	your q	uestion. What costs are you talking	15:19:33
24	about?		15:19:34
25	Q.	There was an investigation; right?	15:19:38

212-267-6868

		168
1	John Kiernan	
2	And Jefferson Wells got paid and at least one	15:19:39
3	other entity got paid.	15:19:43
4	MR. HERFORT: Who is that?	15:19:45
5	MS. BRODERICK: You know perfectly	15:19:46
6	well.	15:19:47
7	Q. What was the cost of the	15:19:49
8	investigation?	15:19:50
9	MS. BRODERICK: Let's not waste	15:19:51
10	time.	15:19:52
11	MR. HERFORT: I'm going to instruct	15:19:52
12	him not to answer.	15:19:52
13	MS. BRODERICK: You are?	15:19:54
14	MR. HERFORT: Yes.	15:19:55
15	MS. BRODERICK: You know you're not	15:19:55
16	supposed to do that.	15:19:56
17	MR. HERFORT: I think that gets into	15:19:57
18	the work product area.	15:19:57
19	MS. BRODERICK: The cost of an	15:19:58
20	investigation	15:20:00
21	MR. HERFORT: The checks that they	15:20:00
22	wrote to Jefferson Wells and Kaye Scholer	15:20:01
23	for work product	15:20:04
24	MS. BRODERICK: For one thing, for	15:20:05
25	God's	15:20:06

		169
1	John Kiernan	
2	MR. HERFORT: Carole, keep your	15:20:07
3	mouth shut and let me listen to him. Okay?	15:20:08
4	You've got to cool it. I want to let's	15:20:11
5	do this professionally. All right?	15:20:13
6	MS. BRODERICK: You should start.	15:20:15
7 .	MR. HERFORT: You start too.	15:20:16
8	Let me talk to Mr. Wallin wants	15:20:17
9	to talk to me. Let me talk to him. Don't	15:20:18
10	start yelling.	15:20:21
11	(Discussion off the record.)	15:20:21
12	(Counsel leave the room.)	15:20:24
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 3:21 p.m. We're	15:20:40
14	going off the record.	15:20:41
15	(Recess from the record.)	15:20:43
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 3:30 p.m.	15:30:19
17	We're back on the record.	15:30:21
18	MR. HERFORT: Miss Broderick has	15:30:23
19	some questions involving numbers, and let's	15:30:24
20	proceed and have them	15:30:26
21	MS. BRODERICK: Would you read the	15:30:28
22	question back and maybe this time he'll	15:30:28
23	answer it.	15:30:31
24	MR. HERFORT: have them asked.	15:30:32
25	(Record read.)	15:30:42

		170
1	John Kiernan	
2	A. My recollection was in the range of	15:30:42
<b>3</b> .	about \$800,000.	15:30:46
4	Q. And is that cost approximately what	15:31:00
5	Veeco expected when the investigation was	15:31:01
6	started?	15:31:05
7	A. I don't recall what our initial	15:31:09
8	estimate was.	15:31:11
9	Q. Well, let's come at it another way.	15:31:14
10	Did the cost of the investigation	15:31:16
11	come as a shock to you? And by "you," I mean	15:31:18
12	Veeco and not you personally, Mr. Kiernan.	15:31:20
13	A. No, I don't believe so.	15:31:25
14	Q. And what was Veeco getting for the	15:31:29
15	\$800,000?	15:31:30
16	MR. HERFORT: Let's just haven't	15:31:34
17	you really covered at some length the	15:31:39
18	actual work that was done?	15:31:41
19	MS. BRODERICK: Let me decide.	15:31:43
20	MR. HERFORT: You do have some	15:31:45
21	rights, that's true.	15:31:46
22	MS. BRODERICK: Yes, I do. You	15:31:47
23	know, what amendment was it that gave us	15:31:48
24	the vote?	15:31:50
25	MR. HERFORT: It's not what we're	15:31:51

		171
1	John Kiernan	
2	talking about.	15:31:52
3	MS. BRODERICK: I know you'd rather	15:31:54
4	appeal it, but it's not for you to do.	15:31:55
5	MR. HERFORT: I really wouldn't. It	15:31:57
6	makes for an interesting political system	15:31:58
7	to have characters like you voting.	15:32:01
8	MS. BRODERICK: Careful or you'll	15:32:03
9	get Hillary Clinton.	15:32:04
10	Now, let him answer the question.	15:32:07
11	MS. HIRSH: I'm sorry.	15:32:09
12	MR. HERFORT: Maybe I like	15:32:11
13	MS. HIRSH: Right after reading the	15:32:13
14	headline about the Dow falling of 500	15:32:14
15	points.	15:32:19
16	MS. BRODERICK: Oh, really? 500?	15:32:19
17	MS. HIRSH: Something to do with	15:32:20
18	China.	15:32:21
19	MS. BRODERICK: Let's let him answer	15:32:23
20	the question. You know you're not allowed	15:32:24
21	to instruct him not to answer except on the	15:32:26
22	grounds of privilege.	15:32:28
23	MR. HERFORT: You might be getting	15:32:29
24	near.	15:32:30
25	MS. BRODERICK: Well, near is not	15:32:30

		172
1	John Kiernan	
2	good enough.	15:32:31
3	MR. HERFORT: You were interested,	15:32:32
4	having gone over the basic work that	15:32:32
5	they've done for the last hour and a half.	15:32:35
6	You want him to repeat it again?	15:32:36
7	MS. BRODERICK: No. I asked him a	15:32:39
8	question. If you're going to instruct him	15:32:40
9	not to answer, at your peril, then do so;	15:32:41
10	if you're not, then	15:32:44
11	MR. HERFORT: If you can answer the	15:32:44
12	question without getting into the	15:32:45
13	impressions and conclusions of the people	15:32:46
14	who did the work for you, answer it.	15:32:47
15	A. My understanding is that of the	15:32:51
16	\$800,000, that was principally paid to three	15:32:54
17	firms. That it was paid to Ernst & Young for	15:33:02
18	additional audit work that they performed. It	15:33:07
19	was paid to Kaye Scholer to lead the	15:33:12
20	investigation. And it was paid to Jefferson	15:33:15
21	Wells for the work they performed.	15:33:18
22	Q. And is it what was your	15:33:21
23	understanding about I understand who you paid	15:33:26
24	it to about what you got for your \$800,000?	15:33:30
25	It's a lot of money.	15:33:35

		173
1	John Kiernan	
2	MR. HERFORT: Read the question back	15:33:37
3	again, please.	15:33:38
4	(Record read.)	15:33:39
5	MR. HERFORT: I'll object as to	15:33:55
6	form.	15:33:55
7	I think this has been gone over and	15:33:56
8	over and over again for the last two hours.	15:34:00
9	MS. BRODERICK: Cry.	15:34:03
10	A. My recollection is that each one of	15:34:07
11	those three firms billed to Veeco on an hourly	15:34:08
12	basis for the work that they performed.	15:34:13
13	Q. Let me put it a different way.	15:34:16
14	What purpose what benefit did you	15:34:20
15	derive for the \$800,000 that you paid to these	15:34:25
16	people?	15:34:30
17	A. The audit committee engaged Kaye	15:34:36
18	Scholer to perform an investigation under their	15:34:40
19	direction, and they're reporting directly into	15:34:42
20	the audit committee. I'm not privileged to what	15:34:47
21	they performed in that regard.	15:34:50
22	Work performed by Ernst & Young	15:34:56
23	included additional audit procedures to review	15:34:59
24	the adjustments and the account analysis that was	15:35:06
25	performed that was outside of the original scope	15:35:08

		174
1	John Kiernan	1
2	of their intended audit work, and that Ernst &	15:35:10
3	Young did these additional procedures in order to	15:35:14
4	be able to render an opinion on the financial	15:35:17
5	statements, which they ended up rendering their	15:35:20
6	opinion on the financial statements.	15:35:24
7	Jefferson Wells reviewed the work	15:35:28
8	that was performed by management and also did	15:35:32
9	forensic work.	15:35:35
10	Q. And what benefit did the company	15:35:37
11	derive from that?	15:35:39
12	A. I think that was the work that	15:35:52
13	was performed by Jefferson Wells enabled Ernst &	15:35:54
14	Young to be able to render their opinion on	15:36:02
15	Veeco's financial statements, and that was a	15:36:09
16	requirement.	15:36:13
17	Q. Did the work done by Jefferson Wells	15:36:16
18	also enable Ernst & Young to render their opinion	15:36:19
19	on Veeco's internal controls?	15:36:24
20	A. I believe they considered the work	15:36:36
21	performed, but I don't know what the exact	15:36:37
22	reliance that they may have attributed to the	15:36:41
23	work performed by Jefferson Wells.	15:36:45
24	Q. Okay. Did there come a time in the	15:36:47
25	third quarter of 2004 that you, Mr. Kiernan,	15:37:44

Case 1:05-cv-07447-CM Document 67 Filed 03/01/2007 Page 13 of 22

# **EXHIBIT B**

### ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07

1

2

1	UNPROOFREAD/UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT ONLY
2	Reporter's Name: EILEEN MULVENNA, CSR/RMR
3	REALTIME/INTERACTIVE ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT AND/OR UNCERTIFIED REALTIME ASCII DISCLAIMER
4	IMPORTANT NOTICE:
5	- AGREEMENT OF PARTIES - PROCEEDING BEYOND THIS PAGE CONSTITUTES
6	ACCEPTANCE OF AND AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING TERMS AND CONDITIONS
7	We, the party working with realtime and rough
8	draft transcripts and/or ASCII disks, understand that if we choose to use the realtime rough draft
9	screen, the rough printout, or the unedited ASCII disk, that we are doing so with the understanding
10	that all rough drafts are uncertified copies
11	WE AGREE THEY WILL BE BILLED TO AND PAID FOR BY
12	US
13	We further agree not to comment in the record on, share, give, copy, scan, fax or in any way
14	distribute this realtime rough draft or ASCII in any form (written or computerized) to any party.
15	However, our own experts, co-counsel, and staff may have limited internal use of same with the
16	understanding that we agree to destroy our realtime rough drafts and/or any computerized
17	form, if any, and replace it with the final transcript/ASCII disk upon its completion.
18	REPORTER'S NOTE:
19	Since this deposition has been recorded by me in
20	realtime and is in rough draft form, please be aware that there may be discrepancies regarding
21	page and line number when comparing the realtime screen, the rough draft/uncertified transcript,
22	rough draft/uncertified ASCII disk, and the final transcript/ASCII disk.
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24	
25	
1	UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY
2	Also please be aware that the realtime screen and the uncertified rough draft transcript/ASCII disk
3	may contain untranslated steno, reporter's notes
4	as parentheticals, misspelled proper names, incorrect or missing Q/A symbols or punctuation, and/or nonsensical English word combinations.  Page 1

```
ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07 All such entries will be correct on the final, certified transcript/ASCII disk.
  5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                   3
 1
          UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY
 2
                       THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
                                                                      09:27:10
 3
               We're on the record. Today's date is
                                                                      10:13:09
               February 28, 2007. The time is 10:15 a.m.
                                                                      10:13:11
 5
                                                                      10:13:15
 6
                       This is the videotape deposition of
                                                                      10:13:16
 7
               John F. Rein in the case of In Re: Veeco
                                                                      10:13:19
 8
               Instruments, Inc., Securities Litigation.
                                                                      10:13:25
 9
               Case No. 05-MD-1695. This case is part of
                                                                      10:13:27
10
               the United States District Court for the
                                                                      10:13:33
                                   Page 2
```

25	ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07 the record. The time is 5:01 p.m. 230	16:58:18
1	UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY	
2	BY MS. BRODERICK:	16:58:22
3	Q. How much did Veeco spend on the	16:58:26
4	investigation that you described in the affidavit	16:58:30
5	that you swore to that was filed in this action	16:58:43
6	in the federal District Court?	16:58:48
7	MR. SERIO: I'm sorry could I have	16:58:56
8	that read back, please.	16:58:57
9	(Record read.)	16:59:07
10	MR. SERIO: Objection to form. You	16:59:08
11	mean outside counsel fees?	16:59:09
12	MS. BRODERICK: The whole thing.	16:59:11
13	A. I think there was a disclosure in	16:59:16
14	the 10-K that enumerated a number like eight or	16:59:17
15	900,000 but I don't specifically recall.	16:59:23
16	Q. And wasn't the product that	16:59:36
17	investigation needed for you to obtain Ernst &	16:59:43
18	Young's opinions on Veeco's financial statements	16:59:51
19	and its internal controls?	16:59:57
20	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:00:00
21	A. I'm sorry, what investigation are	17:00:02
22	you referring to?	17:00:04
23	Q. The financial statement the	17:00:06
24	investigation you just testified about, the cost	17:00:08
25	of which you said was disclosed in Veeco's public 231	17:00:10
1	UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY	
2	document.	17:00:15
3	A. The audit committee selected Kaye	17:00:16
4	Scholer and Jefferson Wells to assist in Page 190	17:00:21

#### ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07 5 litigation, anticipation of litigation 17:00:28 6 preparation to evaluate and to give counsel and 17:00:32 7 guidance to the company. In addition to 17:00:36 8 performing some analysis and forensic work in 17:00:39 9 regard -- in regard to ensuring that the accounts 17:00:46 10 were properly stated and the financial statements 17:00:52 11 were properly stated. 17:00:57 12 MS. BRODERICK: Could you read the 17:00:59 13 question back. 17:00:59 14 (Record read.). 17:01:00 15 MR. SERIO: Objection to form. 17:01:18 16 MS. BRODERICK: It's product of the 17:01:20 17 investigation. 17:01:21 18 THE REPORTER: (Nods head in the 17:01:24 19 affirmative.) 17:01:24 20 MR. SERIO: Could you hear that? I 17:01:26 21 couldn't hear it when you were reading it 17:01:27 22 back. 17:01:29 23 (Discussion off the record.) 17:01:47 24 (Record read.) 17:01:48 25 MR. SERIO: Objection to form. 17:01:57 232 1 UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY 2 Q. You can answer the question? 17:02:13 3 Α. I think I thought I did answer the 17:02:15 question, but a portion of that investigative 4 17:02:17 5 activity was used to assist us in -- us being 17:02:23 6 Veeco financial management in creating and 17:02:29 7 correcting -- creating the required entries and 17:02:33 8 adjustments and developing financial statements 17:02:39 9 on a correct basis and so a portion of that 17:02:46

Page 191

10	ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07 activity was in that in assistance in that	17:02:52
11	regard. And that was required before Ernst &	17:02:56
12	Young could audit our and given an opinion on our	17:02:59
13	December 31, 2004, financial statements.	17:03:03
14	Q. So it was necessary for Ernst &	17:03:06
15	Young's opinion; right?	17:03:08
16	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:03:10
17	A. A portion of the activities were	17:03:11
18	necessary.	17:03:13
19	Q. That's the audit opinion; is that	17:03:14
20	correct?	17:03:16
21	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:03:16
22	Q. Meaning the opinion on Ernst &	17:03:18
23	Young on Veeco's financial statements; right?	17:03:20
24	A. We	17:03:27
25	(Telephone interruption.)	17:03:29
	233	
1	UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY	
2	MS. BRODERICK: Good grieve. I	17:03:32
3	thought it was his stomach.	17:03:34
4	A. I'd like to just clarify what the	17:03:41
5	question	17:03:43
6	Q. Okay. You described financially	17:03:44
7	financial statement activity that was being	17:03:49
8	performed by Jefferson Wells; isn't that correct?	17:03:51
9	A. Well, I described financial activity	17:03:54
10	was being performed by Veeco financial management	17:03:56
11	and there was some assistance based on some of	17:04:01
12	the work that Jefferson Wells did.	17:04:03
13	Q. Yes. And then you testified, did	17:04:06
14	you not that had that activity was necessary for	17:04:08
15	Ernst & Young to issue its opinion; is that Page 192	17:04:13

#### ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07 16 correct? 17:04:15 17 MR. SERIO: Objection to the form. 17:04:16 18 (Telephone interruption.) 17:04:17 19 MS. BRODERICK: Turn it off. 17:04:20 20 MR. SERIO: Sorry. 17:04:24 21 MS. BRODERICK: How many do you 17:04:25 22 have? 17:04:26 23 (Telephone interruption.) 17:04:30 24 (Discussion off the record.) 17:04:40 25 okay? Q. 17:04:48 234 1 UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY 2 It was necessary for Veeco 17:04:48 3 management to get their financial accounts and 17:04:50 4 financial statements correct before Ernst & Young 17:04:54 5 would certify to our statements or we could ask 17:04:56 6 them to review and audit the underlying financial 17:05:01 7 statements. And part of the Jefferson Wells' 17:05:05 8 activity was utilized to assist us in verifying 17:05:09 9 that the accounts were correct. 17:05:15 10 So part of the Jefferson Wells' Q. 17:05:16 11 activity was an activity which was engaged in for 17:05:18 12 the purpose of correcting records which was 17:05:28 13 necessary to do to get Ernst & Young to certify 17:05:31 14 Veeco's financial statements; is that correct? 17:05:37 15 MR. SERIO: Objection to the form. 17:05:40 16 It was -- we utilized Jefferson 17:05:43 17 Wells' activity to verify that what management 17:05:47 18 had come up with, which is what Ernst & Young was 17:05:51 19 looking at, was accurate. 17:05:55 20 Q. Okay. So part of what Jefferson 17:05:58

Page 193

	<b>BAUAN</b> A	ľ
21	ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07 Wells was doing was used to get Ernst & Young to	17:06:00
22	issue an opinion on Veeco's financial statements;	17:06:07
23	isn't that right?	17:06:10
24	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:06:11
25	Asked and answered. 235	17:06:12
1	UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY	
2	A. I think I did answer it.	17:06:13
3	Q. It's yes or no; is that correct?	17:06:15
4	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:06:17
5	A. I don't think it is. I don't think	17:06:18
6	it is yes or no. I think I gave my explanation.	17:06:19
7	Q. What was the Jefferson Wells' work	17:06:24
8	on Veeco's fixing up TurboDisc's records used	17:06:28
9	for?	17:06:35
10	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:06:37
11	A. I described that Veeco management	17:06:38
12	financial management did the analysis and the	17:06:42
13	correction of the errors that were uncovered.	17:06:49
14	And that a portion of what Jefferson Wells' work	17:06:52
15	was utilized to verify that the amounts and	17:06:58
16	correction of errors that Veeco management,	17:07:04
17	financial management had established were in fact	17:07:07
18	verified by some of the work that Jefferson Wells	17:07:12
19	dĭd.	17:07:14
20	Q. And is it also correct that Veeco	17:07:16
21	gave Ernst & Young Jefferson Wells' work to show	17:07:19
22	Ernst & Young that Jefferson Wells agreed with	17:07:27
23	Veeco management?	17:07:31
24	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:07:32
25	Α.	17:07:37
	236	

### ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07

	UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY	
2	THE WITNESS: Repeat that, please.	17:07:37
3	I just like to make sure I understand every	17:07:38
4	word in that question.	17:07:41
5	(Record read.). Select	17:07:56
6	A. Selected schedules that management	17:08:06
7	used in verifying its correction of the errors in	17:08:07
8	the accounts may have been given to Ernst & Young	17:08:15
9	and some of those work papers may have come from	17:08:18
10	Jefferson Wells.	17:08:21
11	Q. Wait a minute. Did Veeco give Ernst	17:08:24
12	& Young any documents that showed that Jefferson	17:08:31
13	wells agreed with Veeco management about Veeco	17:08:34
14	management's correction of TurboDisc accounts?	17:08:40
15	MR. SERIO: Objection to form.	17:08:46
16	A. I know that there was a report that	17:08:47
17	was prepared for the audit committee and for Kaye	17:08:48
18	Scholer and I believe that that report was shared	17:08:52
19	with Ernst & Young. I don't know what purpose	17:08:56
20	that Ernst & Young found with that report. I	
	char chart a roung round with that report.	17:08:59
21	also know that were certain analysis there were	17:08:59 17:09:03
	·	
21	also know that were certain analysis there were	17:09:03
21 22	also know that were certain analysis there were done by Jefferson Wells personnel that were	17:09:03 17:09:06
21 22 23	also know that were certain analysis there were done by Jefferson Wells personnel that were utilized by Veeco management and may have been	17:09:03 17:09:06 17:09:10
21 22 23 24	also know that were certain analysis there were done by Jefferson Wells personnel that were utilized by Veeco management and may have been reviewed by Ernst & Young in establishing that the accounts were proper and the financial	17:09:03 17:09:06 17:09:10 17:09:12
21 22 23 24 25	also know that were certain analysis there were done by Jefferson Wells personnel that were utilized by Veeco management and may have been reviewed by Ernst & Young in establishing that the accounts were proper and the financial	17:09:03 17:09:06 17:09:10 17:09:12
21 22 23 24 25	also know that were certain analysis there were done by Jefferson Wells personnel that were utilized by Veeco management and may have been reviewed by Ernst & Young in establishing that the accounts were proper and the financial  237  UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY	17:09:03 17:09:06 17:09:10 17:09:12 17:09:14
21 22 23 24 25	also know that were certain analysis there were done by Jefferson Wells personnel that were utilized by Veeco management and may have been reviewed by Ernst & Young in establishing that the accounts were proper and the financial  237  UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY statements were proper.	17:09:03 17:09:06 17:09:10 17:09:12 17:09:14

6	ROUGH ASCII VEECO-REIN 2-28-07 MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:09:24
7	I don't I think I would instruct him not	17:09:26
8	to answer as to the substance of what the	17:09:29
9	Jefferson Wells report said. So you don't	17:09:32
10	immediate to answer.	17:09:35
11	Q. And you refuse to answer?	17:09:38
12	A. On advice of counsel, I	17:09:40
13	Q. Okay. Are you prepared to tell me	17:09:42
14	whether Jefferson Wells' work played any part in	17:09:45
15	obtaining Ernst & Young's audit opinion on	17:09:53
16	Veeco's financial statements?	17:09:58
17	A. I think	17:09:59
18	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:10:00
19	A. I think I previously described that.	17:10:01
20	Q. No, this is a yes or no. So far as	17:10:04
21	you're aware, did Jefferson Wells' work play any	17:10:06
22	part in obtaining Ernst & Young's audit opinion	17:10:09
23	on Veeco's financial statements?	17:10:14
24	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:10:15
25	A. Jefferson Wells performed certain 238	17:10:17
	230	
1	UNPROOFREAD ROUGH DRAFT/WORKING COPY ONLY	
2	analysis and assisted Veeco management in	17:10:20
3	preparing the corrected errors and financial	17:10:23
4	statements and that information was presented to	17:10:26
5	Ernst & Young prior to them issuing their	17:10:31
6	opinion.	17:10:32
7	Q. Okay. Now, Ernst & Young issued	17:10:34
8	another opinion in connection with Veeco's 2004	17:10:39
9	10-K, didn't they?	17:10:45
10	MR. SERIO: Objection to the form.	17:10:47
11	A. Could you tell me what you're Page 196	17:10:48